

Official Records 2016

The 10th Regional Moot Court Competition

Zagreb, 6-8 May 2016

Official records

PART I

Grades for the written briefs

Club	City	Application	Response	Total	Place
Vladimir Bayer	Zagreb	34	32	66	5
Club Universitas	Tuzla	34	31	65	6
New York University	Tirana	31	31	62	8
Nasciturus	Split	35	35	70	1

Iustiniana Prima	Skopje	30	33	63	7
Iudex	Sarajevo	28	28	56	10
Zoran Kompanjet	Rijeka	27	28	55	11
Gavro Vuković	Podgorica	27	27	54	12
Radomir Lukić	Novi Sad	35	33	68	3
Iustitia	Nis	34	33	67	4
Discretio	Mostar	29	29	58	9
Henrik Steska	Ljubljana	36	33	69	2

PART II

Draw

According to written briefs grades, Club “Nasciturus”, Split (70), “Henrik Steska”, Ljubljana (69), “Radomir Lukić”, Novi Sad (68) and Club “Iustitia”, Nis (67) were placed in the first box. After the first draw Club “Nasciturus” Split was selected for the leader of group A, Club “Henrik Steska”, Ljubljana for the leader of group B, club “Radomir Lukic”, Novi Sad for the leader of group C and Club “Iustitia” Nis for the leader of group D.

According to written briefs grades, Club “Vladimir Bayer”, Zagreb (66), Club “Universitas” Tuzla (65), Club “Iustiniana Prima”, Skopje (63) an Club “New York University” Tirana (62) were placed in the second box. After the second draw Club “Universitas” Tuzla was selected for the group A, Club “Iustiniana Prima”, Skopje for the group B, Club “New York University”, Tirana for the group C and club “Vladimir Bayer” Zagreb for the group D.

According to written briefs grades, Club "Discretio", Mostar (58), Club "Iudex", Sarajevo (56), "Zoran Kompanjet", Rijeka (55) and "Gavro Vukovic", Podgorica (54) were placed in the third box. After the third draw Club "Iudex" Sarajevo was selected for the group A, Club "Discretio", Mostar for the group B, Club "Zoran Kompanjet", Rijeka for the group C and club "Gavro Vukovic" Podgorica for the group D.

Groups/divisions

A

Club "Nasciturus" (Split)

Club "Universitas" (Tuzla)

Club "Iudex" (Sarajevo)

B

Club "Henrik Steska" (Ljubljana)

Club "Iustiniana Prima" (Skopje)

Club "Discretio" (Mostar)

C

Club "Radomir Lukic" (Novi Sad)

Club "New York University" (Tirana)

Club "Zoran Kompanjet" (Rijeka)

D

Club "Iustitia" (Nis)

Club "Vladimir Bayer" (Zagreb)

Club "Gavro Vuković" (Podgorica)

Note: Due to technical reasons (translation), organiser decided that group B should have matches in Courtroom 1, instead of group A

PART III

Matches in groups/divisions

(May 7)

Group A:

Applicant: "Nasciturus" (Split)

Respondent: "Universitas" (Tuzla)

Judges: Martinovic, Nikolovska, Juras

Judge	Argumentation		Performance		Answer	
Martinovic	A 2	R 5	A 2	R 3	A 1	R 2
Nikolovska	A 3	R 4	A 2	R 3	A 1	R 2
Juras	A 3	R 4	A 3	R 2	A 1	R 2

Total:

Applicant: "Nasciturus" (Split) 18

Respondent: "Universitas" (Tuzla) 27

Group A:

Applicant: "Universitas" (Tuzla)

Respondent: "Iudex" (Sarajevo)

Judges: Apostolovic, Nikolovska, Vujic

Judge	Argumentation	Performance	Answer

Apostolovic	A 3	R 4	A 2	R 3	A 1	R 2
Nikolovska	A 3	R 4	A 2	R 3	A 1	R 2
Vujic	A 3	R 4	A 2	R 3	A 1	R 2

Total:

Applicant: “Universitas” (Tuzla) 18

Respondent: “Iudex” (Sarajevo) 27

Group A:

Applicant:“Iudex” (Sarajevo)

Respondent: “Nasciturus” (Split)

Judges: Trajkovska, Nenadic, Apostolovic

Judge	Argumentation		Performance		Answer	
Trajkovska	A 4	R 3	A 3	R 2	A 1	R 2
Nenadic	A 3	R 4	A 3	R 2	A 1	R 2
Apostolovic	A 4	R 3	A 3	R 2	A 1	R 2

Total:

Applicant:“Iudex” (Sarajevo) 23

Respondent: “Nasciturus” (Split) 22

Group B:

Applicant:“Henrik Steska” (Ljubljana)

Respondent: “Iustiniana Prima” (Skopje)

Judges: Ribičič, Trajkovska, Apostolovic

Judge	Argumentation		Performance		Answer	
Trajkovska	A 3	R 4	A 2	R 3	A 1	R 2
Ribicic	A 4	R 3	A 3	R 2	A 1	R 2
Apostolovic	A 4	R 3	A 3	R 2	A 2	R 1

Total:

Applicant:“Henrik Steska” (Ljubljana) 23

Respondent: “Iustiniana Prima” (Skopje) 22

Group B:

Applicant:“Iustiniana Prima” (Skopje)

Respondent: “Discretio” (Mostar)

Judges: Ribicic, Trajkovska, Martinovic

Judge	Argumentation		Performance		Answer	
Ribicic	A 4	R 3	A 3	R 2	A 1	R 2
Trajkovska	A 4	R 3	A 3	R 2	A 1	R 2

Martinovic	A 3	R 4	A 3	R 2	A 1	R 2
------------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

Total:

Applicant:“Iustiniana Prima” (Skopje) 23

Respondent: “Discretio” (Mostar) 22

Group B:

Applicant:“Discretio” (Mostar)

Respondent: “Henrik Steska” (Ljubljana)

Judges: Martinović, Nikolovska, Ribicic

Judge	Argumentation		Performance		Answer	
Nikolovska	A 3	R 4	A 2	R 3	A 2	R 1
Martinovic	A 3	R 4	A 2	R 3	A 2	R 1
Ribicic	A 3	R 4	A 2	R 3	A 2	R 1

Total:

Applicant:“Discretio” (Mostar) 21

Respondent: “Henrik Steska” (Ljubljana) 24

Group C:

Applicant:“Radomir Lukic” (Novi Sad)

Respondent: “New York University” (Tirana)

Judges: Traja, Martinovic, Vujic

Judge	Argumentation		Performance		Answer	
Traja	A 3	R 4	A 2	R 3	A 1	R 2
Martinovic	A 3	R 4	A 3	R 2	A 1	R 2
Vujic	A 4	R 3	A 3	R 2	A 1	R 2

Total:

Applicant: “Radomir Lukic” (Novi Sad) 21

Respondent: “New York University” (Tirana) 24

Group C:

Applicant:“New York University” (Tirana)

Respodent: “Zoran Kompanjet” (Rijeka)

Judges: Vujic, Juras, Traja

Judge	Argumentation		Performance		Answer	
Vujic	A 3	R 4	A 2	R 3	A 1	R 2
Traja	A 3	R 4	A 2	R 3	A 1	R 2
Juras	A 3	R 4	A 2	R 3	A 1	R 2

Total:

Applicant: “New York University” (Tirana) 18

Respondent: “Zoran Kompanjet” (Rijeka) 27

Group C:

Applicant: “Zoran Kompanjet” (Rijeka)

Respodent: “Radomir Lukic” (Novi Sad)

Judges: Nenadic, Traja, Juras

Judge	Argumentation		Performance		Answer	
Traja	A 3	R 4	A 2	R 3	A 2	R 1
Juras	A 3	R 4	A 2	R 3	A 2	R 1
Nenadic	A 3	R 4	A 2	R 3	A 2	R 1

Total:

Applicant: “Zoran Kompanjet” (Rijeka) 21

Respondent: “Radomir Lukic” (Novi Sad) 24

Group D:

Applicant: “Iustitia” (Nis)

Respondent: “Vladimir Bayer” (Zagreb)

Judges: Nenadic, Juras, Djuranovic

Judge	Argumentation		Performance		Answer	
Nenadic	A 4	R 3	A 2	R 3	A 2	R 1
Djuranovic	A 4	R 3	A 2	R 3	A 2	R 1

Juras	A 4	R 3	A 2	R 3	A 1	R 2
-------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

Total:

Applicant: "Iustitia" (Nis) 23

Respondent: "Vladimir Bayer" (Zagreb) 22

Group D:

Applicant: "Vladimir Bayer" (Zagreb)

Respondent: "Gavro Vuković" (Podgorica)

Judges: Nenadic, Turkovic, Djuranovic

Judge	Argumentation		Performance		Answer	
Turkovic	A 5	R 2	A 4	R 1	A 1	R 2
Nenadic	A 4	R 3	A 3	R 2	A 1	R 2
Djuranovic	A 4	R 3	A 3	R 2	A 0	R 3

Total:

Applicant: "Vladimir Bayer" (Zagreb) 25

Respondent: "Gavro Vuković" (Podgorica) 20

Group D:

Applicant: "Gavro Vuković" (Podgorica)

Respondent: "Iudex" (Nis)

Judges: Vujic, Turkovic, Djuranovic

Judge	Argumentation		Performance		Answer	
Turkovic	A 4	R 3	A 2	R 3	A 1	R 2
Djuranovic	A 4	R 3	A 3	R 2	A 2	R 1
Vujic	A 3	R 4	A 2	R 3	A 2	R 1

Total:

Applicant: “Gavro Vuković” (Podgorica) 23

Respondent: “Iudex” (Nis) 22

Final results in all groups/divisions

A

Club “Iudex” (Sarajevo) 50

Club “Universitas” (Tuzla) 45

Club “Nasciturus” (Split) 40

B

Club”Henrik Steska” (Ljubljana) 47

Club “Iustiniana Prima” (Skopje) 45

Club “Discretio” (Mostar) 43

C

Club “Zoran Kompanjet” (Rijeka) 48

Club “Radomir Lukic” (Novi Sad) 45

Club “New York University” (Tirana) 42

D

Club “Vladimir Bayer” (Zagreb) 47

Club “Iustitia” (Nis) 45

Club “Gavro Vuković” (Podgorica) 43

PART IV

Semi-final

Draw

Four best clubs from each group/divisions and two second-best clubs (in accordance to **Article 7C**)
acquired right to participate in the semi-final. According to draw results, groups E and F were formed:

E

Club “Zoran Kompanjet” (Rijeka)

Club “Vladimir Bayer” (Zagreb)

Club “Iustitia” (Niš)

F

Club “Henrik Steska” (Ljubljana)

Club “Iudex” (Sarajevo)

Club “Radomir Lukić” (Novi Sad)

Note: Due to technical reasons (translation), organiser decided that group F should have matches in Courtroom 1, instead of group E

Matches in semi-final groups

(May 8)

Group E:

Applicant:“Zoran Kompanjet” (Rijeka)

Respondent: “Vladimir Bayer” (Zagreb)

Judges: Trajkovska, Vujic, Juras

Judge	Argumentation		Performance		Answer	
Trajkovska	A 3	R 4	A 2	R 3	A 2	R 1
Vujic	A 3	R 4	A 3	R 2	A 1	R 2
Juras	A 3	R 4	A 2	R 3	A 1	R 2

Total:

Applicant:“Zoran Kompanjet” (Rijeka) 20

Respondent: “Vladimir Bayer” (Zagreb) 25

Group E:

Applicant: “Vladimir Bayer” (Zagreb)

Respondent: “Iustitia” (Niš)

Judges: Trajkovska, Juras, Apostolović

Judge	Argumentation		Performance		Answer	
Trajkovska	A 3	R 4	A 2	R 3	A 2	R 1
Juras	A 4	R 3	A 2	R 3	A 2	R 1

Apostolovic	A 3	R 4	A 3	R 2	A 2	R 1
-------------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

Total:

Applicant: “Vladimir Bayer” (Zagreb) 23

Respondent: “Iustitia” (Niš) 22

Group E:

Applicant: “Iustitia” (Niš)

Respondent: “Zoran Kompanjet” (Rijeka)

Judges: Trajkovska, Mijovic, Djuranovic

Judge	Argumentation		Performance		Answer	
Trajkovska	A 4	R 3	A 2	R 3	A 1	R 2
Mijovic	A 4	R 3	A 2	R 3	A 3	R 0
Djuranovic	A 4	R 3	A 2	R 3	A 2	R 1

Total:

Applicant: “Iustitia” (Niš) 24

Respondent: “Zoran Kompanjet” (Rijeka) 21

Group F:

Applicant: “Henrik Steska” (Ljubljana)

Respondent: “Iudex” (Sarajevo)

Judges: Traja, Djuranovic, Nenadic

Judge	Argumentation		Performance		Answer	
Nenadic	A 4	R 3	A 2	R 3	A 2	R 1
Traja	A 4	R 3	A 2	R 3	A 1	R 2
Djuranovic	A 4	R 3	A 3	R 2	A 2	R 1

Total:

Applicant:“Henrik Steska” (Ljubljana) 24

Respondent: “Iudex” (Sarajevo) 21

Group F:

Applicant:“Iudex” (Sarajevo)

Respondent: “Radomir Lukic” (Novi Sad)

Judges: Ribicic, Martinovic, Vujic

Judge	Argumentation		Performance		Answer	
Ribicic	A 4	R 3	A 2	R 3	A 2	R 1
Martinovic	A 4	R 3	A 3	R 2	A 2	R 1
Vujic	A 4	R 3	A 2	R 3	A 2	R 1

Total:

Applicant: “Iudex” (Sarajevo) 25

Respondent: “Radomir Lukic” (Novi Sad) 20

Group F:

Applicant:“Radomir Lukic” (Novi Sad)

Respodent: “Henrik Steska” (Ljubljana)

Judges: Ribicic, Vujic, Nikolovska

Judge	Argumentation		Performance		Answer	
Nikolovska	A 4	R 3	A 3	R 2	A 2	R 1
Ribicic	A 3	R 4	A 3	R 2	A 1	R 2
Vujic	A 3	R 4	A 3	R 2	A 1	R 2

Total:

Applicant: “Radomir Lukic” (Novi Sad) 23

Respondent: “Henrik Steska” (Ljubljana) 22

Final results in semi-final

E

Club “Vladimir Bayer” (Zagreb) 48

Club “Iustitia” (Niš) 46

Club “Zoran Kompanjet” (Rijeka) 41

F

Club “Henrik Steska” (Ljubljana) 46

Club “Iudex” (Sarajevo) 46

PART V

Final

(May 8)

Applicant: “Vladimir Bayer” (Zagreb)

Respondent: “Henrik Steska” (Ljubljana)

Judges: Mijovic, Martinovic, Nikolovska, Traja, Turkovic

Judge	Argumentation		Performance		Answer	
Turkovic	A 4	R 3	A 3	R 2	A 2	R 1
Traja	A 4	R 3	A 2	R 3	A 1	R 2
Mijovic	A 4	R 3	A 3	R 2	A 1	R 2
Nikolovska	A 3	R 4	A 3	R 2	A 1	R 2
Martinović	A 3	R 4	A 2	R 3	A 1	R 2

Total:

Applicant: “Vladimir Bayer” (Zagreb) 37

Respondent: “Henrik Steska” (Ljubljana) 38

Applicant: “Henrik Steska” (Ljubljana)

Respondent: “Vladimir Bayer” (Zagreb)

Judges: Mijovic, Martinovic, Nikolovska, Traja, Turkovic

Judge	Argumentation		Performance		Answer	
Turkovic	A 3	R 4	A 3	R 2	A 1	R 2
Traja	A 3	R 4	A 2	R 3	A 1	R 2
Mijovic	A 3	R 4	A 3	R 2	A 2	R 1
Nikolovska	A 3	R 4	A 3	R 2	A 2	R 1
Martinovic	A 4	R 3	A 3	R 2	A 2	R 1

Total:

Applicant: “Henrik Steska” (Ljubljana) 38

Respondent: “Vladimir Bayer” (Zagreb) 37

PART VI

The winner

The Club “Henrik Steska” from Ljubljana is the winner of the Tenth Regional Moot Court Competition with 76 points, while “Vladimir Bayer” from Zagreb won 2nd place with 74 points.

PART VII

The final results of the second part of the competition

1. Club “Henrik Steska” (Ljubljana)
2. Club “Vladimir Bayer” (Zagreb)
3. Club “Iustitia” (Niš)
4. Club “Iudex” (Sarajevo)

5. Club "Radomir Lukic" (Novi Sad)
6. Zoran Kompanjet" (Rijeka)
7. Universitas"(Tuzla)
8. Club "Iustiniana Prima" (Skopje)
9. "Discretio" (Mostar)
10. "Gavro Vukovic" (Podgorica)
11. New York University" (Tirana)
12. Club "Nasciturus" (Split)

Belgrade, Secretary of the

Administrative Board

10 May 2016 Goran Miletic